



71st Annual Conference

Reshaping the Research Landscape: Public Opinion and Data Science

AAPOR 71st Annual Conference

May 12 – 15, 2016 Hilton Austin • Austin, Texas

WAPOR 69th Annual Conference

May 10 – 12, 2016 Hotel Van Zandt • Austin, Texas

The AAPOR Report on Address-Based Sampling

Rachel Harter, Chair

Overview of Session

- ▣ **Introduction and Background**
- ▣ **Scripted Q&A with Panelists**
- ▣ **Open Q&A Floor Discussion**

What is ABS?

In broad terms, ABS (address-based sampling) refers to survey methodologies for samples selected from address frames; in the US, ABS frames are usually based, in part, on the US Postal Service mail delivery databases.

Why an ABS Task Force?

- ▣ **Increased opportunities for ABS surveys**
- ▣ **Importance of familiarity with frame and sample properties**
- ▣ **Evolving methodologies and complex tradeoffs**
- ▣ **Encourage consistent disclosure of ABS designs**

Charge of the ABS Task Force

This AAPOR task force will supplement the existing AAPOR guidelines for ABS issues, consistent with existing standards for scientific surveys, with focus on:

▣ Standardization/clarification of ABS terminology

▣ Recommendations for:

- Frame-building and enhancements
- Sample selection possibilities
- Weighting adjustments
- Response rate calculations and reporting

ABS Task Force Members

- ▣ *Rachel Harter*, RTI International, Chair
- ▣ *Michael P. Battaglia*, Battaglia Consulting Group, LLC
- ▣ *Trent D. Buskirk*, Marketing Systems Group
- ▣ *Don A. Dillman*, Washington State University
- ▣ *Ned English*, NORC at the University of Chicago
- ▣ *Mansour Fahimi*, GfK Custom Research, LLC
- ▣ *Martin R. Frankel*, Baruch College
- ▣ *Timothy Kennel*, U.S. Census Bureau
- ▣ *Joseph P. McMichael*, RTI International
- ▣ *Cameron Brook McPhee*, American Institutes for Research
- ▣ *Jill Montaquila DeMatteis*, Westat
- ▣ *Tracie Yancey*, Nielsen Company
- ▣ *Andrew L. Zukerberg*, National Center for Education Statistics

Expert Consultants

- ▣ *Anne Connelly*, Cigna, formerly of Valassis, Inc.
- ▣ *Philip Faulstich*, Valassis, Inc.
- ▣ *David Malarek*, Marketing Systems Group
- ▣ *Missy Mosher*, SSI
- ▣ *Linda Piekarski*, SSI
- ▣ *Bonnie Shook-Sa*, RTI International

Acknowledgements

The task force gratefully acknowledges the helpful comments from J. Michael Brick, the AAPOR Standards Committee, and their designees. The task force also gratefully acknowledges the editorial support provided by RTI International and meeting support provided by AAPOR Headquarters.

Disclaimer

The views expressed in the report and this presentation are those of the authors and do not represent the views of their agencies and employers.

The Process

- ▣ Build the team, with AAPOR Council approval
- ▣ Hold series of informational sessions with expert consultants
- ▣ Prepare outline of report
- ▣ Assign sub-teams to write report sections
- ▣ Compile, review, and revise – *ITERATE*
- ▣ Obtain comments from AAPOR Standards Committee
- ▣ Solicit feedback from expert consultants based on early draft
- ▣ Revise, compile, review – *ITERATE*
- ▣ Obtain comments from outside expert and revise
- ▣ Obtain approval from AAPOR Standards Committee
- ▣ Obtain final approval from AAPOR Executive Council

The ABS Report

http://www.aapor.org/AAPORKentico/AAPOR_Main/media/MainSiteFiles/AAPOR_Report_1_7_16_CLEAN-COPY-FINAL.pdf

- ▣ **Introduction**
- ▣ **Frame Creation**
- ▣ **Auxiliary Variables**
- ▣ **Designing and Implementing ABS Surveys**
- ▣ **Eligibility, Response Rates, and Weights**
- ▣ **Reporting Guidelines**
- ▣ **Quality and Cost Issues for ABS Samples**
- ▣ **Summary of the Current State of ABS**
- ▣ **References**
- ▣ **Appendix - Definitions**

Today's Panelists

- ▣ *Jill Montaquila DeMatteis*, Westat
- ▣ *Mansour Fahimi*, GfK Custom Research, LLC
- ▣ *Cameron Brook McPhee*, American Institutes for Research
- ▣ *Andrew L. Zukerberg*, National Center for Education Statistics

Panel Format

▣ **Scripted Q&A:**

- ▣ Panelists will respond to prepared questions
- ▣ Convey the main points of the report

▣ **Open to questions from the audience**

▣ **Handouts will be available at the end of the session**

Why has ABS emerged?

Why Has ABS Emerged?

- ▣ **Evolving coverage problems of telephone-based alternatives**
- ▣ **Complexities and inconsistencies of dual-frame RDD**
- ▣ **Eroding rates of response to telephone and single modes of contact**
- ▣ **Increasing costs and inefficiency of refusal conversions by phone alone**
- ▣ **Improvements in databases of household addresses**
- ▣ **ABS frame enhancement possibilities**
- ▣ **Increasing pressure for cost containments**

When is ABS appropriate?

When is ABS Appropriate?

- ▣ **Two primary uses:**
 - Housing unit frames
 - Alternative to RDD for mail only, mail-to-web, and multi-mode
- ▣ **To address coverage, response rate, and cost issues**
- ▣ **Best frames for national US household surveys**
- ▣ **Not appropriate for all survey situations:**
 - Learning curve/infrastructure
 - Length of field period

What are the sources of ABS frames, and what is known about their coverage and quality?

ABS Sources & Coverage

- ▣ **Primary vendors maintain and lease address lists for frame creation**
- ▣ **Secondary vendors subset address lists and select samples of addresses**
- ▣ **Frames have high coverage of households but can vary by address type:**
 - Rural addresses
 - Some Native American reservations
 - Drop points
- ▣ **Coverage is improving as addresses are converted to city-style**
- ▣ **Most vendors supplement their coverage with data from other sources**

Potential Issues With ABS Frame Data

▣ **Over coverage and under coverage issues:**

- ❑ Matching addresses between sources leads to over/under coverage
- ❑ Household members may receive mail at more than one address
- ❑ Some addresses are missing entirely from the files
- ❑ Misclassification of addresses as business or residential

▣ **Important to have lists that are updated regularly**

Determining the Quality of ABS Frames

- ▣ Somewhat difficult due to vendors' proprietary processes
- ▣ Use checklist to screen vendors (hand out)
- ▣ **Field-enumerated Lists vs. ABS Frames:**
 - Both subject to error due to omission and duplicates
 - Can be used to complement each other:
 - Rural routes can be hard to locate physically from an ABS frames
 - ABS frames can be used to identify gaps in field enumerated lists

What are key decisions to be made when using ABS?

Key Decisions When Using ABS

▣ **Delivery types to include on frame:**

- Residential
- P.O. Boxes
- Vacant units
- Seasonal dwellings
- Drop points

▣ **Supplemental variables:**

- Phone number
- Append household characteristics from commercial sources
- Append Census geodemographics via geocoding

How do I get a sample of households from addresses?

Sampling Households from Addresses

- ▣ **ABS provides a sample of delivery points, not households:**
 - ❑ Single address associated with multiple households (drop point)
 - ❑ Single household associated with multiple addresses
 - Both P.O. box and physical (city-style) address
 - Vacation homes

- ▣ **Handling households with multiple addresses:**
 - ❑ De-duplicate:
 - Only operating “OWGM” P.O. boxes
 - Eliminate “seasonal/educational” addresses from frame
 - Screen out households at their seasonal/educational addresses
 - ❑ Include questions about whether household can be reached at multiple addresses

What methods can be used to sample individuals within households using ABS?

Within-Household Subsampling

▣ **Single-phase design:**

- ❑ All-adults approach
- ❑ Any-adult approach
- ❑ Next-birthday method

▣ **Two-phase design:**

- ❑ Screener to enumerate eligible persons
- ❑ Returned screener processed, eligible person randomly sampled
- ❑ Survey is administered in second phase

What specific issues need to be considered when using ABS for local surveys?

ABS Issues for Local Surveys

▣ Prevalence of specific types of addresses:

- ❑ Drop points and educational addresses – variation from area to area
- ❑ Substantial group quarters population
- ❑ P.O. box addresses – will geocode based on location of post office

▣ Addressing potential effects of geocoding errors:

- ❑ Cast a wider net
- ❑ Include coverage enhancement methods

What is currently known about mixing modes in ABS studies?

Mixing Modes in ABS Studies

▣ **Mixed-mode study:**

- ❑ Contact by one mode, request response by different mode
- ❑ Contact attempted by more than one mode
- ❑ Offer more than one mode response option (sequential or simultaneous)

▣ **Popular approach: Contact by mail, try to elicit web response:**

- ❑ Might offer mail/phone response as option
- ❑ Mail/web choice does not improve response
- ❑ Alternative strategy: Web-push

▣ **Use of phone with mail and web (Finamore and Dillman 2013):**

- ❑ Web-first/mail-first/phone-first
- ❑ Final response rates, respondent characteristics nearly the same
- ❑ Most respondents answered by the original mode
- ❑ Web-first least expensive; phone-first most expensive

Modes of Data Collection with ABS

☐ **Contact mode vs. data collection (response) mode:**

- ☐ Mail, in-person via addresses (non-city-style issue)
- ☐ Matched phone numbers (non-matches and bad matches issues):
 - Might use phone just for reminder calls, IVRs
 - Addresses with phone number matches have higher response rates regardless of contact mode

☐ **Data collection mode:**

- ☐ In-person
- ☐ Mail
- ☐ Web
- ☐ Phone
- ☐ IVR
- ☐ Mixed-mode

What are methods of selecting a stratified sample from an ABS frame?

Stratification with ABS

▣ Potential stratification variables:

- ❑ Postal variables
- ❑ Appended auxiliary variables from commercial sources
 - ❑ Cautions!
- ❑ Appended geodemographic variables from the Census

▣ Stratification options:

- ❑ Stratify by characteristics available for all addresses on the frame
- ❑ Use two-phase (double) sampling

What are the key considerations for determining eligibility of addresses in ABS studies?

Determining Eligibility of Addresses

- ▣ **Need to consider both addresses and households residing at address**
- ▣ **Regardless of mode, the sampled unit is the address:**
 - ❑ Conflicting “signals” about the eligibility of an address is not uncommon
 - ❑ Consider the source of the signals and their utility in assigning case dispositions
 - ❑ Must have rules for reconciling conflicting dispositions
- ▣ **Address confirmation question will be necessary for determination of eligibility**
- ▣ **Surveys with household- or person-level eligibility requirements:**
 - ❑ Unreturned mail questionnaire could be an indication of nonresponse or ineligibility
 - ❑ May receive conflicting information about the household itself
 - ❑ Eligibility criteria often need to be based on a single point in time

What are the special considerations for computing response rates in ABS studies?

Response Rate Calculations with ABS

- ▣ Handling the proportion of cases classified as unknown eligibility for good estimation of “ e ”
- ▣ Traditional methods for estimating e may not be appropriate for ABS surveys
- ▣ Good practice to report multiple response rates (including “best-” and “worst-case” estimates)
- ▣ For subpopulation surveys, multiple estimates of e should be computed for address- and household- or person-level eligibility

What are the special considerations when calculating weights for an ABS study?

Weighting Adjustment Issues

▣ Steps in weighting similar to other list-frame surveys:

- ❑ Calculation of design weights to account for selection probabilities
- ❑ Eligibility adjustments
- ❑ Unit nonresponse adjustments
- ❑ Poststratification to control totals

▣ Weight components unique to ABS Designs:

- ❑ Address-level weights
- ❑ Household-level weights
- ❑ Person-level weights

Weights Features Unique to ABS

- ▣ **Household-level weights:**
 - ▣ Addresses with multiple households (drop points)
 - ▣ Households with multiple addresses: (PO Boxes and seasonal & educational units)
- ▣ **Person-level weights will be needed to account for additional within-household selection**
- ▣ **Often eligibility and nonresponse adjustments are made at the household and/or person levels**

What types of variables are available for making nonresponse weighting adjustments for an ABS study?

Nonresponse Weighting Adjustment with ABS

- ▣ **Require auxiliary variables available for both respondents and nonrespondents**
- ▣ **Several commonly used frame (or address-level) variables:**
 - Auxiliary variables available for Census geographies or ZIP codes
 - Auxiliary variables that are available for all addresses in the sampling frame
 - Auxiliary variables that are appended after the sample selection
- ▣ **Ideal weighting class variables exhibit response rate & survey estimate variations across classes**

What special aspects should be reported for an ABS study?

Reporting

- ▣ **AAPOR minimum standards for disclosure apply:**
 - ❑ Definition of population under study and its geographic location
 - ❑ Description of frame and its coverage of the target population (see AAPOR code)
- ▣ **Following OMB and AAPOR guidelines:**
 - ❑ Vendor name
 - ❑ Name of frame used if vendor offers different frames
 - ❑ Date frame was constructed
 - ❑ Source of address frame
 - ❑ Methods vendor used to de-duplicate the file
 - ❑ Sample design
 - ❑ Comprehensive list of address types included
 - ❑ Procedures to validate the representativeness of the sample
- ▣ **Procedures to identify and resolve duplicate or invalid addresses**

What are some areas for future research in ABS?

Future Research

- ▣ Coverage and options for supplementing coverage
- ▣ Impact of drop points and methods for working with them
- ▣ Ineligible addresses and postmaster returns
- ▣ Impact of diminishing landline matches
- ▣ Frame updates
- ▣ Auxiliary variables via multi-sourcing
- ▣ Two-phase methodologies
- ▣ ABS for business surveys
- ▣ Cost and quality tradeoffs

Questions from the Floor

▣ Panelists:

- Jill Montaquila DeMatteis
- Mansour Fahimi
- Cameron Brook McPhee
- Andrew L. Zuckerberg

The ABS Report

☐ http://www.aapor.org/aaporkentico/aapor_main/media/mainsitefiles/aapor_report_1_7_16_clean-copy-final.pdf

☐ **More information:**

Rachel Harter

RTI International

919-541-6472

rharter@rti.org